#### **MHD-DYNAMO EXPERIMENTS**

#### **Peter Frick**

Sergey Denisov, Vitaliy Noskov, Stanislav Khripchenko, Rodion Stepanov, Dmitry Sokoloff

#### Laboratory of Hydrodynamics, Institute of Continuous Media Mechanics, Perm, RUSSIA

### **DYNAMO IN LABORATORY**

$$Re = \frac{UL}{v} - Reynolds number$$

$$Rm = \frac{UL}{v_m} - magnetic Reynolds number$$

$$Rm^* \approx 30 - 100$$

$$P_m = \frac{v}{v_m} - magnetic Prandtl number$$

$$P_m \approx 10^{-5} (Na)$$

### **DYNAMO EXPERIMENTS**

- Riga cylindrical crew dynamo (2000)
- Karlsruhe two-scale dynamo (2000)
- Cadaraches VKS2 (Von Karman flow in a cylinder)
- Madison S2T2 flow in a sphere
- Mariland Couette-Taylor flow in a 3m sphere
- Los Alamos alpha-omega dynamo
- Perm nonstationary toroidal screw-dynamo



# Karlsruhe experiment



### Karlsruhe experiment

### A two-scale dynamo<sub>70</sub>





#### 1 mT = 10 gauss

Roberts, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. London* A271 (1972)

Stieglitz, Müller *Phys. Fluids*, **13** (2001)

### French Project - the Von Kármán experiments for MHD



dissipation

# Gallium (VKG) (ENS Lyon)

# Socium (VKS)

-

#### (CEA Cadarache)

#### Petrelis et al., PRL 90, 174501 (2003)



FIG. 1. Geometry of the experimental setup. The flow is generated by rotating only one disk either at position (1) or (2). The magnetic field is measured at position S.



FIG. 2. Components of the total mean magnetic field as a function of the rotation frequency of disk (2). The disk radius is R = 150 mm with straight blades. Four baffles are mounted on the inner wall of the cylindrical vessel. The magnetic field is measured at z = 100 mm.  $[(\bigcirc) \frac{\langle B_x \rangle}{B_o}; (\blacksquare) \frac{B_o + \langle B_y \rangle}{B_o}; (\blacktriangle) \frac{\langle B_z \rangle}{B_o}]$ .

#### Volk et al., PRL 97, 074501 (2006)



FIG. 1. Geometry of the experimental setup. Location of the magnet (M) and of the Hall probe (P). The magnet can be put in the bulk of the flow if the probe P is removed.



FIG. 3. Evolution with the rotation frequency of the increments of the mean values of components  $\langle B_i \rangle (\Omega/2\pi) - \langle B_i \rangle \times$  $(\Omega/2\pi = 8 \text{ Hz}), \langle B_x \rangle (\diamond), \langle B_y \rangle (\triangle), \langle B_z \rangle (\star), \text{ and standard}$ deviations,  $B_{xrms}$  ( $\bigcirc$ ),  $B_{yrms}$  ( $\square$ ),  $B_{zrms}$  ( $\star$ ). Linear fits of the standard deviations with dashed lines.

### The Madison Dynamo Experiment



### 1 m diameter

200 Hp



### The Madison Dynamo Experiment

#### Nornberg et al., PRL 97, 044503 (2006)



FIG. 1 (color online). A schematic of the Madison dynamo experiment with superimposed magnetic field lines of the theoretically predicted dominant magnetic eigenmode.



FIG. 3 (color online). Contours of  $B_r(\theta, \phi)$  measured on the surface of the sphere. The applied field is subtracted from the measurements. This snapshot of the measured field corresponds to an induced dipole field transverse to the drive shaft axis.

#### Nornberg et al., PRL 97, 044503 (2006)



FIG. 4. Time series of the energy in the transverse dipole field for an impeller rotation rate of 10 Hz. The diamonds mark the peak of a burst where the energy exceeds 50% of its maximum value.



FIG. 6. Kinematic growth rate versus Rm for the mean flow measured in the water experiment (solid line) and an optimized flow (dashed line). The vertical lines identify  $Rm_{crit}$  for each case. The PDFs of Rm for flows with three different impeller rotation rates are shown to demonstrate the increasing overlap of the ranges of Rm and  $Rm_{crit}$ .

### **Mariland Couette-Taylor experiment**



### **PERM: SCREW DYNAMO IN A BRAKED TORUS**

- Energy accumulation
- Pulse screw flow
- Toroidal geometry





GOALS: - peculiarities of Ponomarenko dynamo in a torus; - nonstationary dynamo action.







Rm = 40

### **ADVANTAGES:**

- small mass of Na;
- low power supply;
- no sealing glands;

#### **DISADVANTAGES:**

- short duration;
- conducting boundaries problem;
- high power breaking system;
- materials for the channel;
- measurement problems.

### **EXPERIMENTAL SET-UPS**

	Water A	Water B	Ga	Na
Radius of the torus, (m)	0.103	0.154	0.088	0.4
<b>Radius of the cross-section,</b> ( <i>m</i> )	0.027	0.04	0.023	0.12
Mass of the channel, (kg)	5.6	24.5	15.3	300
<b>Moment of inertia,</b> $(kg \cdot m^2)$	0.072	0.58	0.132	50
Mass of fluid, (kg)	1.25	4.86	5.58	115
<b>Moment of inertia,</b> $(kg \cdot m^2)$	0.018	0.15	0.045	20
<b>Frequency of rotation,</b> ( <i>R.P.S.</i> )	50	30	50	50
<b>Maximal velocity,</b> $(m/s)$	32	29	27	140
Effective Re	10 <sup>5</sup>	$5 \cdot 10^{5}$	$5 \cdot 10^5$	$4 \cdot 10^{6}$
Effective Rm	-	-	1.5	40
Minimal braking time, (s)	0.1	0.18	0.05	0.1
<b>Energy of rotation,</b> ( <i>J</i> )	$4.4 \cdot 10^3$	$17.3 \cdot 10^3$	$6.6 \cdot 10^3$	$10^{6}$
<b>Dissipation power,</b> ( <i>Wt</i> )	$4.4 \cdot 10^4$	$8.7 \cdot 10^4$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{5}$	10 <sup>7</sup>
Temperature, (°C)	20	20	20	120

#### WATER EXPERIMENT











## MHD channel: thickness of the wall



 $\xi$  - parameter of velocity profiles

$$v(r) = \frac{\cosh(\xi) - \cosh(r\xi)}{\cosh(\xi) - \cosh(0)}$$

## **MHD channel: conductivity**



$$\xi = 18$$

#### **Strengths in rotating channel**



		Cu	AI	D16
Plasticity factor	$\delta_{eqv}^n = \sigma_n / \max(\sigma_{eqv})$	0.36	0.34	6.2
Load factor	$\delta_{eqv}^m = \sigma_m / \max(\sigma_{eqv})$	1.12	0.78	8.0

- **AI** is destructed under centrifugal forces
- Cu does not destroy but experiences plastic deformation
- D16 is not destructed and deforms elastically

# Characteristics of the stress-strain state (main metal – D16)



	Sodium (Na)	Toroidal channel (D16)	Shell (Ti)	Brake disc (Fe)	Total
Mas Fleg Dal Na	<b>5</b> 8	153	31/20	24	266/255
Moment of inertia kg m <sup>2</sup>	5.6	17.2	3.6/2,2	6.0	32.4/31
Kinetic energy, kJ	280	850	180/110	290	1600/1530
Mean overheat,°C				120/114	
Load factor		6.5/10.6	4.3/2.5	4.3/4.6	
Plasticity factor		5.1/8.2	3.7/2.2	3.7/4.0	

\* - Model 1/Model 2

#### **PROBLEMS:** Dal-Na contact, embrittlement

R



#### **Engineering development and design**



### Chromium copper БрХ-1

#### **Properties**

- Conductivity 86% of pure copper conductivity
- Ultimate stress limit 450-470Mpa

### Technology

- Fusion
- Hot Rolling
- Hardening
- Cold Rolling
- Artificial deterioration (ennoblement)





#### The breaking system









#### **Temperature of the disk surface**







#### The torus







#### **GALLIUM EXPERIMENT**

 $R_{0} = 0.0875m$   $r_{0} = 0.0225m$   $M_{Ga} = 5.58kg$   $I_{max} = 2kA$ (DC and AC)







### **Transverse field 50Gs**







-typical time evolution of the radial component *Br* measured by the 3D static probe at location 2 for different diverters;

- Maximal values of *Br* (mr probe, diamonds) and *Bz* (mz probe, dots) versus *Rm* (left diverters).



-  $B_r$  component measured at locations 1, 2 and 3 for negative rotation and left diverters;

- sketch of the field induced by the poloidal vortex;

-  $B_z$  component measured at locations 1, 2 and 3 for negative rotation and left diverters.

# small-scale helicity



# **Toroidal field 35Gs**





$$B_{even} = (B_Z(\mathrm{Rm}) + B_Z(-\mathrm{Rm}))/2$$
$$B_{odd} = (B_Z(\mathrm{Rm}) - B_Z(-\mathrm{Rm}))/2$$

# **Toroidal field 35Gs**



-Time evolution of the even part of the induced magnetic field measured by the coil (left and right diverters);

-corresponding curves for the odd part.

### Local transverse field in Ga flow







- 1 channel
- 2 diverter
- 3 magnet
- 4 3D probe

- 5 box
- 6 motor
- 7 brake



Imposed field



Induced field







## **Induction mechanism**





#### **Solid-like rotation**

#### **Local rotation**



### **Time evolution**



no diverters

right diverters

\phi=90 degr.

#### empty channel

#### Ga without diverters



**Right diverters** 

Left diverters



no diverters

right diverters

left diverters

### **Symmetries**



counterclockwise







Kinetic energy (water experiment) Magnetic energy (gallium experiment)

### **Magnetic Field Pulsations**



Pulsations energy in the band 10<f<40 Hz